

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/059,147	SUTTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Poltorak	2134	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Peter Poltorak.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeremy Monaldo.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2007

Time: 17:16

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

44-51

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend the present application as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 KAMBIZ ZAND
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)